

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.mpto.gov

	EII IN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,412	10/29/1999		PAUL ALBERT	2268UO-1	7273
22442 CLUEDID A 3	7590	08/13/2003		EXAMINER	
SHERIDAN ROSS PC 1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				HAYES, ROBE	RT CLINTON
DENVER, CO 80202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/430,412

Applicant(s)

Albert et al

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1647** 



		the state of the second st		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply	SEXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	<b>.</b>		
- Extensi	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMINICATION.  ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing	date of this communication.	tetutopy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.		
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
- Anv re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this	communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
_	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 7, 200	2		
2a) 🗆	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ✓ This actio			
	This dottor to the ter			
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Diennei	tion of Claims			
4) 🔽	Claim(s) 1-8	is/are pending in the application.		
7194	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Б\П	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) ∐	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	hand a blacked to by the Evaminer		
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	lority under 35 0.5.C. 3 119(a)-(a) of (i).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No		
	application from the international bured	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.		
14)[		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a)	$\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application has been received.		
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
	ment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P10-413) Paper Nots)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6) \( \overline{\text{Other: } SEQUENCE error report} \)		
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	of the control of the report		

Application/Control Number: 09/430412

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Sequence Rules

1. The communication filed on 11/07/02 is not fully responsive to the communication mailed 10/02/02 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice to Comply With the Sequence Rules and/or *CRF Diskette Problem Report*. A new CFR, paper copy and a statement that these sequences are identical and contain no new matter are required.

Note that any response not placing this application in compliance with the SEQUENCE RULES will be held as non-responsive.

### Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a DNA containing a mutation in the repressor region of the 5-HT1A receptor gene, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
  - II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a glucocorticoid response element within the 5-HT1A gene, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
  - III. Claim 7, drawn to a method of detecting depression and related mental illnesses using DNA amplification of the 5-HT1A receptor gene and detecting mutations, classified in Class 435, subclass 91.2.

IV. Claim 8, drawn to a method of identifying a protein which binds to an oligonucleotide comprising screening cDNA libraries and cloning cDNAs of proteins that bind, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper, because these products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons:

Groups I-II are directed to products that are physically and functionally distinct involving specific and distinct nucleotide sequences with different functions. For example, the mutated DNA sequence of Group I possesses different functions and structure when compared to the glucocorticoid response element of Group II. It is pointed out that there is a proper distinction between these groups, since each product is not required in order for the other to exist. Thereby, these groups are distinct and separable for the reasons stated.

Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polynucleotides of Group I can be used in materially

different methods, such as to express the 5-HT1A receptor protein, or used as a putative therapeutic agent in gene therapy. In contrast, the method of detecting a 5-HT1A mutation of Group III requires nucleic acid labeling and amplification reactions, as well as patients with mental illnesses, which are not required in the product of Group I.

It is noted that the method of Group III does not require the product of Group II, and vice versa.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relation of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed proper because these methods appear to constitute patently distinct inventions for the following reason:

Groups III-IV are directed to methods of detecting depression and related mental illnesses using DNA amplification to detecting mutations, or identifying a protein which binds to an oligonucleotide comprising screening cDNA libraries and cloning cDNAs. Each of the methods require physically and functionally distinct elements. For example, the compounds required for the method of Group III require DNA amplification reactions and mutated 5-HT1A receptor genes from patients with mental illness, while the method of Group IV alternatively involves use of physically different compounds, such a polypeptides and oligonucleotides, which are not required in the method of Group III, and vice versa. In addition, the method of Group IV requires cDNA libraries and cDNA cloning protocols not required in the method of Group III,

and vice versa. These inventions are, therefore, patentably distinct, since one is not required for the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the non-coextensiveness of the search and examination for each group would constitute an undue burden on the examiner to search and consider all the separable groups with their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

August 12, 2003

part 5.9.